

Welcome from the Department of Mathematics and Physics at the University of New Haven

Matrix Braids

Joseph Kolibal

The University of New Haven



October, 19 2017

Abstract

Braiding matrices arise as a subtopic of the the Yang-Baxter equation, which has been studied extensively due to application in numerous fields of mathematics and physics. We connect these to a simplified matrix representation and focus on obtaining solutions to matrix braids by considering special matrices where solutions are more easily found. Finally, we suggest a fixed point iteration algorithm to determine the braid complement of a given matrix, if it exists. ▶ We analyze the Yang-Baxter equation specialized to matrices $A : \mathbb{C}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^n, B : \mathbb{C}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$, having the following form

 $ABA = BAB. \tag{1}$

- ▶ We seek to characterize solutions of (1), including finding the necessary and if possible sufficient conditions under which distinct matrices *A* and *B* satisfy (1).
- ▶ In that regard, the approach is not too dissimilar to analyzing the structure of AB = BA, i.e., determining when two distinct matrices commute.¹
- In that sense it seems appropriate to coin the usage that two distinct matrices form a braid, or more simply braid if they satisfy (1).

¹ The physics preamble suggests the form ABA = BAB, but why not consider when AAB = BAB, or BAA = BAB, or ..., i.e., consider permutations of two matrices takesn three at a time. As an academic pursuit, we can consider the permutation products of *n* matrices taken *p* at a time, but we can also consider matrix multiplication schemes for public key encryption, e.g., the Simple Matrix Scheme. The simpler Hill cipher was the first attempt to do cryptography with matrices.

▶ We analyze the Yang-Baxter equation specialized to matrices $A : \mathbb{C}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^n, B : \mathbb{C}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$, having the following form

 $ABA = BAB. \tag{1}$

- ▶ We seek to characterize solutions of (1), including finding the necessary and if possible sufficient conditions under which distinct matrices *A* and *B* satisfy (1).
- ► In that regard, the approach is not too dissimilar to analyzing the structure of AB = BA, i.e., determining when two distinct matrices commute.¹

In that sense it seems appropriate to coin the usage that two distinct matrices form a braid, or more simply braid if they satisfy (1).

¹ The physics preamble suggests the form ABA = BAB, but why not consider when AAB = BAB, or BAA = BAB, or ..., i.e., consider permutations of two matrices takes three at a time. As an academic pursuit, we can consider the permutation products of *n* matrices taken *p* at a time, but we can also consider matrix multiplication schemes for public key encryption, e.g., the Simple Matrix Scheme. The simpler Hill cipher was the first attempt to do cryptography with matrices.

▶ We analyze the Yang-Baxter equation specialized to matrices $A : \mathbb{C}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^n, B : \mathbb{C}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$, having the following form

 $ABA = BAB. \tag{1}$

- ▶ We seek to characterize solutions of (1), including finding the necessary and if possible sufficient conditions under which distinct matrices *A* and *B* satisfy (1).
- ► In that regard, the approach is not too dissimilar to analyzing the structure of AB = BA, i.e., determining when two distinct matrices commute.¹

In that sense it seems appropriate to coin the usage that two distinct matrices form a braid, or more simply braid if they satisfy (1).

¹ The physics preamble suggests the form ABA = BAB, but why not consider when AAB = BAB, or BAA = BAB, or ..., i.e., consider permutations of two matrices takes three at a time. As an academic pursuit, we can consider the permutation products of *n* matrices taken *p* at a time, but we can also consider matrix multiplication schemes for public key encryption, e.g., the Simple Matrix Scheme. The simpler Hill cipher was the first attempt to do cryptography with matrices.

det(A) det(B) det(A) = det(B) det(A) det(B)

 $\Rightarrow \det(A) = \det(B)$ if A, B nonsingular.

Since the determinant of a matrix is equal to the product of the eigenvalues of the matrix, we have

 $\prod_i \lambda_i(A) = \prod_j \lambda_j(B)$

where λ_i and λ_j are all the eigenvalues of A and B, including multiplicities.

Not much information if A of B are singular

$$\begin{split} \det(A)\det(B)\det(A) = \det(B)\det(A)\det(B) \\ \implies \det(A) = \det(B) \text{ if } A, B \text{ nonsingular }. \end{split}$$

Since the determinant of a matrix is equal to the product of the eigenvalues of the matrix, we have

 $\prod_i \lambda_i(A) = \prod_i \lambda_i(B)$

where λ_i and λ_j are all the eigenvalues of A and B, including multiplicities.

Not much information if A or B are singular

$$\begin{split} \det(A)\det(B)\det(A) = \det(B)\det(A)\det(B) \\ \implies \det(A) = \det(B) \text{ if } A, B \text{ nonsingular }. \end{split}$$

Since the determinant of a matrix is equal to the product of the eigenvalues of the matrix, we have

$$\prod_i \lambda_i(A) = \prod_j \lambda_j(B),$$

where λ_i and λ_j are all the eigenvalues of *A* and *B*, including multiplicities.

Not much information if A or B are singular

$$\begin{split} \det(A)\det(B)\det(A) = \det(B)\det(A)\det(B) \\ \implies \det(A) = \det(B) \text{ if } A, B \text{ nonsingular }. \end{split}$$

Since the determinant of a matrix is equal to the product of the eigenvalues of the matrix, we have

$$\prod_i \lambda_i(A) = \prod_j \lambda_j(B),$$

where λ_i and λ_j are all the eigenvalues of *A* and *B*, including multiplicities.

Not much information if A or B are singular.

$$XA = BX, (2)$$

where X = AB.

- Adding A to both sides of (2), we obtain (X + I)A = BX + A.
- Solving for A on the left side of the equation yields our fixed point iteration method

$$A = (X+I)^{-1}(BX+A$$

Must ensure that (X+I) remains invertible during the iteration.

▶ We could rewrite (3) as

$$A = (X + cI)^{-1} (Q_{X} + cA)^{-1}$$

and for large enough values of *c*, the diagonal dominance of (*c*), guarantee invertibility.

$$XA = BX, (2)$$

where X = AB.

- Adding A to both sides of (2), we obtain (X + I)A = BX + A.
- Solving for A on the left side of the equation yields our fixed point iteration method

$$A = (X+I)^{-1}(BX+A)$$

Must ensure that (X + I) remains invertible during the iteration.

► We could rewrite (3) as

$$A = (X + cI)^{-1}(BX + cA),$$

and for large enough values of c, the diagonal dominance of (X + cI) will guarantee invertibility.

$$XA = BX, (2)$$

where X = AB.

- Adding A to both sides of (2), we obtain (X + I)A = BX + A.
- Solving for A on the left side of the equation yields our fixed point iteration method

$$A = (X + I)^{-1}(BX + A).$$
 (3)

Must ensure that (X + I) remains invertible during the iteration.

We could rewrite (3) as

$$A = (X + cI)^{-1} (BX + cA),$$

and for large enough values of c, the diagonal dominance of (X + cI) will guarantee invertibility.

$$XA = BX, (2)$$

where X = AB.

- Adding A to both sides of (2), we obtain (X + I)A = BX + A.
- Solving for A on the left side of the equation yields our fixed point iteration method

$$A = (X+I)^{-1}(BX+A).$$
 (3)

Must ensure that (X + I) remains invertible during the iteration.

We could rewrite (3) as

$$A = (X + cI)^{-1}(BX + cA),$$
(4)

and for large enough values of c, the diagonal dominance of (X + cI) will guarantee invertibility.

Example of using a table and turning of the background.

п	V_n/c_n	V_n/C_n	S_n	Comments
1	2.0000	1.000000	2.0000	Since $S_1 = \frac{2\pi^{1/2}}{\Gamma(1/2)} r^{1-1}$ and $\Gamma(1/2) = \pi^{1/2}$

Example of using a table and turning of the background.

п	V_n/c_n	V_n/C_n	S_n	Comments
1	2.0000	1.000000	2.0000	Since $S_1 = \frac{2\pi^{1/2}}{\Gamma(1/2)} r^{1-1}$ and $\Gamma(1/2) = \pi^{1/2}$
2	3.1416	0.785398	6.2832	

Example of using a table and turning of the background.

п	V_n/c_n	V_n/C_n	S_n	Comments
1	2.0000	1.000000	2.0000	Since $S_1 = \frac{2\pi^{1/2}}{\Gamma(1/2)} r^{1-1}$ and $\Gamma(1/2) = \pi^{1/2}$
2	3.1416	0.785398	6.2832	
3	4.1888	0.523599	12.566	

Example of using a table and turning of the background.

п	V_n/c_n	V_n/C_n	S_n	Comments
1	2.0000	1.000000	2.0000	Since $S_1 = \frac{2\pi^{1/2}}{\Gamma(1/2)} r^{1-1}$ and $\Gamma(1/2) = \pi^{1/2}$
2	3.1416	0.785398	6.2832	
3	4.1888	0.523599	12.566	
4	4.9348	0.308425	19.739	

Example of using a table and turning of the background.

п	V_n/c_n	V_n/C_n	S_n	Comments
1	2.0000	1.000000	2.0000	Since $S_1 = \frac{2\pi^{1/2}}{\Gamma(1/2)} r^{1-1}$ and $\Gamma(1/2) = \pi^{1/2}$
2	3.1416	0.785398	6.2832	
3	4.1888	0.523599	12.566	
4	4.9348	0.308425	19.739	
5	5.2638	0.164493	26.319	Maximum V_n . Holds the most <i>n</i> -cubes.
6	5.1677	0.080746	31.006	

Example of using a table and turning of the background.

п	V_n/c_n	V_n/C_n	S_n	Comments
1	2.0000	1.000000	2.0000	Since $S_1 = \frac{2\pi^{1/2}}{\Gamma(1/2)} r^{1-1}$ and $\Gamma(1/2) = \pi^{1/2}$
2	3.1416	0.785398	6.2832	
3	4.1888	0.523599	12.566	
4	4.9348	0.308425	19.739	
5	5.2638	0.164493	26.319	Maximum V_n . Holds the most <i>n</i> -cubes.
6	5.1677	0.080746	31.006	
7	4.7248	0.036912	33.074	Maximum S_n at $n = 7.257 \dots$
8	4.0587	0.015854	32.497	
9	3.2985	0.0064424	29.687	

Concentric spheres

Matrix Braids



Figure: Plot of Gamma function, $\Gamma(x)$, showing factorials, $\Gamma(x) = (n-1)!$ for x = 1, 2, ...

How we wrote these slides

Matrix Braids



```
\end{itemize}
\end{frame}
```

We used Beamer, a version of LATEX that is highly optimized to produce quality presentation slides. Interested? Consider MATH 2212 Software Tools for Math, along with some self-help research tools. Note that we can invoke actions, such as view the jpg file that is the background for this page.

```
Back to Elementary Considerations, pg.8
```

Thank You

The Department of Mathematics and Physics at The University of New Haven http://math.newhaven.edu

JKolibal@newhaven.edu http://math.newhaven.edu/kolibal

